

## WRITTEN REPORT OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

### **”Supply the Hot Stamping Foil with Diffractive Optically Variable Image Devices for the Commemorative Banknote”**

**(“Dodávka fólie pro horkou ražbu s difrakčními opticky variabilními obrazovými zařízeními pro pamětní bankovku“)**

In accordance with the Sec. 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., on public procurement, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the “Act”) the Contracting Authority has made this written report on the above-mentioned public contract in below – threshold regime in a simplified below – threshold procedure for supplies by the Act.

1. Data in accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(a) of the Act:  
Contracting authority: STÁTNÍ TISKÁRNA CENIN, státní podnik  
Registered office: Prague 1, Růžová 6, House No. 943, 110 00, Czech Republic  
Represented by: Tomáš Hebelka, MSc, Chief Executive Officer  
Business ID: 00001279

1.1. **The subject of this public contract** is the Contractor’s obligation:

- a) to create an origination (production of a Master) of hot stamping foil with DOVIDs for the banknote, including creation of diffractive security feature design according to requirements specified in the Technical Specification – the Annex No. 1 to the Draft Purchase Contract.
- b) to grant exclusive license covering the design of the diffractive security feature and an exclusive license covering the Master and its copies for the use of the sheets for the manufacture of banknote within the extent specified in Article VII to the Draft Purchase Contract.
- c) to produce and deliver the hot stamping foils with DOVIDs for banknote to the Contracting Authority, according to the technical specification contained in the Technical Specification - the Annex No. 1 to the Draft Purchase Contract (hereinafter referred to as the “DOVIDs”);
- d) to enable to acquire the ownership title to the supplied DOVIDs to the Contracting Authority.

The subject of the public contract stated in this paragraph will be perform in 2 motives: (i) “the Order of T. G. Masaryk” and (ii) “Heraldic Silesian eagle” according to the Technical Specification – the Annex No. 1 to the Draft Purchase Contract and according to the predetermined grounds for design of DOVIDs. These grounds of the design of DOVIDs shall be provided by the Contracting Authority to the Contractor according to Article II paragraph 3 of the Draft Purchase Contract.

**1.2. The price agreed in the public contract**

20 000 EUR

2. Data in accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(b) of the Act:  
Simplified below - threshold procedure
3. In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(c) of the Act:

<b>Tender No.</b>	<b>Participant</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>IQS Group s.r.o.</b> Business ID: 24279501 Registered office: Hlavní 130, Řež, 250 68 Husinec, Czech Republic
<b>2.</b>	<b>LEONARD Kurz Stiftung &amp; Co. KG</b> Business ID: HRA 5526 Registered office: Schwabacher Str. 482, 90763 Fürth, Germany
<b>3.</b>	<b>OPTAGLIO a.s.</b> Business ID: 48950076 Registered office: Obora 20, 267 23 Lochovice, Czech Republic

4. In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(d) of the Act:

**Excluded participant:**

Business name: **LEONARD Kurz Stiftung & Co. KG**  
Business ID: HRA 5526  
Registered office: Schwabacher Str. 482, 90763 Fürth, Germany

**Reason for exclusion:**

The Contracting Authority has defined the conditions for the submission of a tender by the participant in Art. 16.1. – 16.4. of the Tender Documentation. The form of submitting a tender was defined:

- 1) According Art. 16.3 of Tender Documentation:
  - **Submitting tenders (excl. Qualification Samples) in electronic form and**
- 2) According Art. 16.4 of Tender Documentation:
  - **Submitting Qualification Samples as a part of tenders in material form**

The Supplier submitted only a material form of the tender within the deadline for submission of tenders.

This tender was correctly marked according to the conditions in the Tender Documentation, however, it did not contain the required samples, but only a written information, that the Supplier will not participate in the tender procedure for the public contract.

The electronic part of the tender was not submitted. The Supplier did not submit a tender incl. tender prices in compliance with the Art. 5.1 and Art. 9 of Tender Documentation and in compliance with the Art. 46 (2) of the Act after the expiry of the time limit for the submission of tenders, the tender cannot be altered and data that were supposed to be evaluated according to the evaluation criteria cannot be additionally supplemented, therefore the Contracting Authority could not evaluate this tender in the evaluation of tenders.

5. In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(e) of the Act:

**Selected contractor:**

Business name: **IQS Group s.r.o.**

Registered office: Hlavní 130, Řež, 250 68 Husinec, Czech Republic

Business ID: 24279501

**Reason for selection:**

In accordance with the provision of Sec. 114(1) of the Act, the basic evaluation criterion for the award of this public contract was the economic advantageousness of the tender.

The total economic advantageousness of the tender has been evaluated by awarding points according to the criteria as stated below, whereas the only criterion was the **lowest Total Tender price.**

Based on the evaluation the Commission stated the following order of the tenders:

Score	Participant	Business ID:	Total Tender price in EUR excl. VAT
1.	<b>IQS Group s.r.o.</b>	24279501	20 000 EUR
2.	<b>OPTAGLIO a.s.</b>	48950076	130 000 EUR

6. In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(f) of the Act:

The selected contractor has listed these subcontractors for the performance of the public contract:

**1. IQS nano s.r.o.**

Business ID: 29137756

Registered office: Hlavní 130, 250 68, Husinec-Řež, Czech Republic

**2. DEMAX-HOLOGRAMS AD**

Business ID: BG200707650

Registered office: Abagar str., Sofia, 1138, Republic of Bulgaria

- 3) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(g) of the Act:  
Negotiated procedure with prior publication or competitive dialogue procedure was not used.
- 4) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(h) of the Act:  
Negotiated procedure without prior publication was not used.
- 5) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(i) of the Act:  
Light regime regime was not used.
- 6) In accordance with the provision of Section 217(2)(j) of the Act:  
The procurement procedure has not been cancelled.
- 7) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(k) of the Act:  
The contracting authority used electronic forms of communication.
- 8) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(l) of the Act:  
Conflict of interest has not been identified.
- 9) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(m) of the Act:  
This public contract has not been divided into individual parts.
- 10) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(n) of the Act:  
The contracting authority did not require fulfilment of economic qualification.

Prague, dated \_\_\_\_\_

---

Tomáš Hebelka, MSc  
Chief Executive Officer  
on behalf of the contracting authority  
STÁTNÍ TISKÁRNA CENIN, státní podnik