

## WRITTEN REPORT OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

regarding the public contract called:

**Production and delivery of STARCOS 3.74 eIDAS C3 dual interface chip modules and Inlays with antenna //**  
*Výroba a dodávky duálních čipových modulů*  
*STARCOS 3.74 eIDAS C3 a předlaminátů s anténou*

(hereinafter "**Public Contract**")

In accordance with the Sec. 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., on public procurement, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Act") the Contracting Authority has made this written report on the Public Contract.

### 1) **Data in accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(a) of the Act:**

- **the identification of the contracting authority:**

Contracting Authority: Státní tiskárna cenin, s.p.  
Registered office: Růžová 943/6, Nové Město, 110 00 Praha 1, Czech Republic  
Represented by: Tomáš Hebelka, MSc, Chief Executive Officer  
Business ID: 00001279

(above and hereinafter the "**Contracting Authority**")

- **the subject-matter of the public contract:**

Supplies.

The subject matter of the Public Contract is the Contractor's obligation to supply to Contracting Authority chip modules and Inlays with antenna used for the production of smart cards with the profile for První certifikační autorita, a. s. (First Certification Authority, a. s.) and its customers as outlined below:

a) **STARCOS 3.74 eIDAS C3 dual interface chip modules for the encapsulation and inlays with antenna according to the technical specifications contained in Annex 1 and 2 of the Draft Contract** in following variable configuration:

aa.) with initialization table based on STARCOS 3.7, with unique ATR (I.CA),  
or

ab.) with initialization table created through a specific profile. This profile will be concretized by the Contracting Authority in partial written orders (e.g. eIDAS or different one)

b) **STARCOS 3.74 eIDAS C3 dual interface chip modules for the encapsulation according to the technical specifications contained in Annex 1 and 2 of the Draft Contract** in following variable configuration:

ba.) with initialization table based on STARCOS 3.7, with unique ATR (I.CA), or

bb.) with initialization table created through a specific profile. This profile will be concretized by the Contracting Authority in partial written orders (e.g. eIDAS or different one)

(hereinafter referred separately to as the “**chip modules**” and/or “**subject of performance**” or “**goods**”)

An integral part of the subject of performance is also a provision of the technical support by the Contractor, in case of technical problems in course of processing of the goods by the Contracting Authority.

A detailed technical specification outlined by the Contracting Authority and further definition of the subject of performance of the Public Contract are in the Annex 1a hereof (hereinafter the “**Draft Contract**”) and the Annex 1b of the Draft Contract (hereinafter the “**Draft NDA**”) and are binding for Contractors in a full extent.

- **the price agreed in the public contract, where it has been concluded**

Pursuant to Section 218(3) of the Act, the price agreed in the public contract is not published due to the fact that it has not been published in the so-called Register of Contracts pursuant to and in accordance with Act No. 340/2015 Coll., on Special Conditions for the Effectiveness of Certain Contracts, Publication of Such Contracts and on the Register of Contracts (the Register of Contracts Act) pursuant to Section 219(1) of the Act.

**2) Data in accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(b) of the Act (type of procurement procedure used):**

Open procedure pursuant to Section 56 of the Act.

**3) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(c) of the Act (identification of participants and indication of their tender prices):**

Name:	<b>Giesecke+Devrient ePayments GmbH</b>
Registered office:	Prinzregentenstrasse 161, 81677 München, Germany

Business ID:	HRB 224694, DE305560986
Tender Price:	Pursuant to Section 218(3) of the Act, the price agreed in the public contract is not published due to the fact that it has not been published in the so-called Register of Contracts pursuant to and in accordance with Act No. 340/2015 Coll., on Special Conditions for the Effectiveness of Certain Contracts, Publication of Such Contracts and on the Register of Contracts (the Register of Contracts Act) pursuant to Section 219(1) of the Act.

**4) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(d) of the Act (identification of all excluded participants with the reason for their exclusion):**

No participant was excluded from the tender procedure.

**5) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(e) of the Act:**

- **the identification of the contractor with which a contract or a framework agreement was concluded or the identification of the contractors who were admitted to the dynamic purchasing system:**

Name:	<b>Giesecke+Devrient ePayments GmbH</b>
Registered office:	Prinzregentenstrasse 161, 81677 München, Germany
Business ID:	HRB 224694, DE305560986

- **reasons for selection:**

Evaluation of tenders has not been performed since only one tender has been submitted.

The selected contractor has fulfilled all the conditions for participation in the procedure, that means the conditions regarding the requirements determined by the Contracting Authority and the Act, and is for the Contracting Authority economically advantageous.

The Commission recommended the Contracting Authority to conclude the contract with the company **Giesecke+Devrient ePayments GmbH**. The Contracting Authority has agreed with the recommendation of the Commission and decided to select for contract conclusion the company **Giesecke+Devrient ePayments GmbH**.

- 6) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(f) of the Act (identification of subcontractors of the suppliers referred to in point (e), if known to the contracting authority):**

The selected contractor has stated that no subcontractor will be used.

- 7) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(g) of the Act (justification for the use of the negotiated procedure with publication or the competitive dialogue procedure, if used):**

Negotiated procedure with prior publication or competitive dialogue procedure was not used.

- 8) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(h) of the Act (justification for the use of the negotiated procedure without publication, if used):**

Negotiated procedure without prior publication was not used.

- 9) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(i) of the Act (justification for use of the simplified scheme, if applicable):**

Light regime regime was not used.

- 10) In accordance with the provision of Section 217(2)(j) of the Act (justification for cancelling the tender procedure or not introducing a dynamic purchasing system, if applicable):**

The tender procedure has not been cancelled.

- 11) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(k) of the Act (justification for the use of other means of communication in the submission of the tender instead of electronic means, if other means were used):**

The Contracting Authority used electronic forms of communication.

- 12) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(l) of the Act (list of persons identified as having a conflict of interest and the subsequent action taken where a conflict of interest has been identified):**

Conflict of interest has not been identified.

- 13) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(m) of the Act (if the contracting authority does not divide the above-limit public contract into parts, the contracting**

**authority shall state the reasons for this procedure if it has not stated them in the tender documentation):**

The Public Contract has not been divided into individual parts. The subject of the Public Contract is in particular a provision of the requested the chip modules in compliance with the technical specifications stated in the tender conditions and there is no substantiation for division into parts.

**14) In accordance with the provision of Sec. 217(2)(n) of the Act (justification for establishing the requirement to demonstrate turnover in the case of a procedure under section 78(3) of the Act if not specified in the tender documentation):**

The Contracting Authority did not require fulfilment of economic qualification.

Processed by: Mgr. Zuzana Drahokoupil Šenoldová  
Published via the electronic tool EZAK.  
In Prague, *dated as per the electronic signature.*

---

**Tomáš Hebelka, MSc**  
Chief Executive Officer  
on behalf of the Contracting Authority  
Státní tiskárna cenin, s. p.